

Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium

Bill #	SB04	123			SB(Title:	Montana	river and stream prote	ection	
Primary Sponsor: Jackson, Verdell				Status: As Introduced					
☑ Significant Local Gov Impact			☐ Needs to be included in HB 2			Technical Concerns			
☐ Included in the Executive Budget			☐ Significant Long-Term Impacts			Dedicated Revenue Form Attached			
				FISCAL S	UMMARY	Y			
			\mathbf{F}	Y 2010	FY 2011		FY 2012	FY 2013	
			Di	fference	Differenc	e	Difference	Difference	e
Expen	nditures:					_			-
General Fund			\$0		\$0	\$0		\$0	
Revenue:			ΨΟ		ΨΟ	ΨΟ		ΨΟ	
General Fund			\$0		\$0	\$0		\$0	
-									
Net Impact-General Fund Balance				\$0		\$0	\$0		\$0

<u>Description of fiscal impact:</u> This bill has no fiscal impact to the state.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Effect on County or Other Local Revenues or Expenditures:

Montana Association of Counties (MACO)

- The bill requires the governing body to adopt and implement best management practices regulations to
 further the objectives of the bill. The bill's requirements that regulations be created and adopted will cause
 a significant expenditure of local government resources which will vary by county. The bill is not clear as
 to which streams it would be applicable to. Every county would need to develop and adopt the required
 regulations.
- 2. The bill provides no funding mechanism to pay for the creation of the required regulations so the cost of doing so will come at the expense of other services. Furthermore, the bill requires the development and distribution of educational materials as well as an educational campaign. It is impossible to accurately estimate the costs that will be incurred but it will be significant. This bill may violate 1-2-112, MCA, in that it does not provide a specific means to fund the requirements imposed by the bill.

Sponsor's Initials	Date	Budget Director's Initials	Date